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#### Abstract

The reaction of 1,3-diferrocenylbut-2-en-1-one 1 with $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ in methanol in the presence of NaOAc produced $\mu$-chloro-bridged $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium complex 2 in high yield. Treatment of 2 with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ afforded the monomeric triphenylphosphine derivative 3. The anti conformation of 3 was confirmed by $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and also by X-ray crystallography.
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## 1. Introduction

The $\eta^{3}$-allyl ligand, formally a four-electron donor occupying two coordination sites, is one of the most common of carbon ligands, forming moderately stable complexes with virtually all the transition metal series. The versatile reaction paths and pronounced reactivity of the $\pi$-allyl group account for the large number of synthetic and catalytically important reactions manifested by $\eta^{3}$-allyl complexes [1]. Recently, ferrocenyl derivatives have attracted much attention for applications in areas including non-linear optical materials, molecular switches in controlling supramolecular assemblies and mixed-valence complexes with the possibility of producing high temperature and superconducting materials, etc. [2]. In this paper we describe the preparation and structural characterization of one group of ferrocene-containing $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium complexes.

## 2. Results and discussion

The $\eta^{3}$-allyl ligand $\mathbf{1}$ is metalated by the palladium(II) salt $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ in methanol in the presence of anhydrous sodium acetate to afford the corresponding stable $\eta^{3}$-allylpalladium complex 2 in good yield. It was subjected

[^0]to bridge-splitting reaction with triphenylphosphine to produce the monomeric triphenylphosphine derivative 3. These are examples of palladium-mediated $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ activation reactions in which there is abstraction of a proton that is delivered to the basic reaction medium.

The ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectra of 2 is very complicated, we can only assign the singlets at $\delta 4.95$ and 4.03 to the protons of the terminal $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group and the broad singlet at $\delta 5.51$ to the methine proton of $\pi$-allyl. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of $\mathbf{1}$ has been reported, but the signals of the protons of the ferrocenyl part have not been well separated using a 80 MHz spectrometer [3]. 2D NOESY spectra (Figs. 1, 2) helped us to determine the ferrocenyl protons of compounds 1 and 3 . It was confirmed for compound 1 that the triplet at $\delta 4.60$ corresponds to the $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ proton and the singlet at $\delta 4.15$ to the $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ proton due to the appearance of negative cross-peaks representing the NOE between them and methyl protons. The downfield triplet at $\delta 4.81$ corresponds to the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ proton due to the NOE peak between the $\mathrm{C}(2)$ proton and the methine proton on the $\pi$-allyl unit. The cross-peak between protons 2 and $3,2^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ allowed the assignment of signals at $\delta 4.48$ and 4.42 to protons 3 and $3^{\prime}$ respectively.

It is well known that a 1.2 -disubstituted $\eta^{3}$-allyi moiety can exist in two isomeric configurations [4], in our case a syn configuration where the ferroceneformyl group is cis to the ferrocenyl group or an anti configu-

ration where the ferroceneformyl group is trans to the ferrocenyl group, both of which are chiral:




The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ indicated the presence of only one isomer in solution. In the same way as described above, based on 2D NOESY spectra, we can assign the protons of ferrocenyl moieties accurately and confirm the anti configuration of 3 . That is, the singlets at $\delta 5.18$ and 4.30 due to the protons 2 and $2^{\prime}$, the triplets at $\delta 4.56$ and 4.38 due to the protons 3 and $3^{\prime}$ respectively. If 3 exists in the syn configuration, then there would be an NOE cross-peak between proton $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, and no-cross peak between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}^{\prime}}$ and the protons

Form 2.


Fig. 1. 2D NOESY spectra of compound 1; "* '’ NOE peaks.


Fig. 2. 2D NOESY spectra of compound 3: "*" NOE peaks.
of the ferrocenyl group on the central carbon atom. In our case there is an NOE cross-peak between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}^{\prime}}$ and the protons of the ferrocenyl group on the central carbon atom without the cross-peak between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}^{\prime}}$ and
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$. So the assignment of the anti configuration is correct. In comparison with the starting material $\mathbf{1}$, the chemical shift ( $\delta 3.77$ ) of one of the terminal $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group protons of $\mathbf{3}$ has experienced a considerable

Table 1
Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ for 3

| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{Cl}(1)$ | $2.345(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.476(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $2.027(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.310(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.474(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $2.020(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.146(4)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.229(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.034(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.156(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.431(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $2.036(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.200(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.025(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $2.044(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $3.427(3)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $2.047(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $2.051(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $1.828(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $2.054(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $2.041(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $1.821(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | $2.031(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $2.023(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $1.823(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $2.030(4)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.038(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.437(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(20)$ | $2.032(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2.032(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{CC}(3)$ | $1.396(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $2.035(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2.015(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.495(6)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $2.041(5)$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2.007(7)$ |

upfield shift relative to that of $2(\delta 4.95)$ and, moreover, there exist NOE peaks between the protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) and the protons of triphenylphosphine. These results are consistent with a cis arrangement of triphenylphosphine and the terminal $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group of 3 . It can be considered that the shielding effect of the phenyl ring in $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ is very large.

The X-ray crystal structure of anti- $\eta^{3}$-1-ferrocenyl-formyl-2-ferrocenylallyl (triphenylphosphine) chloropalladium 3 was determined, which contains a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecule as solvate. A perspective view of the molecular structure of $\mathbf{3}$ is shown in Fig. 3. The selected bond distances and angles are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

The structure determined is completely consistent with that proposed for the compound 3 . which has been supported by the spectral data. That is, the ferrocenyl group and the ferroceneformyl group are located in the syn position with respect to the methine hydrogen atom of the $\pi$-allyl group. In certain compounds, the steric factors may also influence the choice of anti or syn isomer [4], so only the anti isomer of $\mathbf{3}$ was obtained. The coordination about the Pd atom is essentially planar if the central allyl C atom (C2) is neglected. Indeed the

Table 2
Selected bond angles (deg) for 3

| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $97.52(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $70.1(2)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $163.8(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $73.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $96.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $69.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $98.2(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $117.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $165.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $124.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $39.0(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $117.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $67.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $129.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $37.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $122.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $116.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $118.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $117.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $121.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $109.9(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $125.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $102.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $126.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $103.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $122.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | $104.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $120.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $70.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $107.7(4)$ |

inclination of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plane defined by the $\mathrm{C}(1), \mathrm{C}(2)$ and $C(3)$ atoms to the square coordination plane at the metal Pd is ca. $105^{\circ}$, close to the $110^{\circ}$ value typical of $\eta^{3}$-allyl Pd complexes [5]. The $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ distance (trans to P ) of $2.200(4) \AA$ is longer than the $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ distance (trans to Cl ) of $2.146(4) \AA$, which is probably due to


Fig. 3. Molecular structure of 3 .
the trans influence of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand. The $\mathrm{Pd} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance is $3.427(3) \AA$, which indicates that there is no direct interaction between them. The $\pi$-allyl plane ( $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plane) and one of the substituted Cp rings forms a dihedral angle of $97.81^{\circ}, 64.30^{\circ}$ with the nearest phenyl ring of the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ group respectively, which accounts for the shielding effect observed in NMR studies.

The cyclopentadienyl groups are planar within the limits of experimental error. The dihedral angle between the planes $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ and $\mathrm{C}(20)-\mathrm{C}(24)$ at $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ is $2.71^{\circ}$, and only $1.78^{\circ}$ in the other ferrocenyl fragment at $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$. The $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ atom is at the same distance ( $1.635 \AA$ ) from the $C(15)-C(19)$ and $C(20)-C(24)$ planes. (1.642 and $1.647 \AA$ for $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ with respect to the $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ planes). $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ (cyclopentadienyl ring) distances range from $2.007(7)$ to $2.054(5) \AA$ (av. 2.03 $\AA$ ) and intracyclopentadienyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond lengths lie in the range $1.34(1)-1.47(1) \AA$ (av. $1.41 \AA$ ), the geometry of the ferrocenyl fragments being very similar to that observed in ferrocene itself. The dihedral angle between the two substituted Cp rings and the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ plane is $35.50^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ and $37.79^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ respectively, and that between the two substituted Cp rings and the plane defined by atoms $C(2), C(3), C(4), C(5)$ and $C(15)$ is $18.66^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ and $11.17^{\circ}$ at $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ respectively.

In summary, the method reported here has been proved to be a mild, chemoselective way of activating an allylic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in an olefinic substrate. To complete the sequence, it is now necessery to achieve $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation, which is the subject of the accompanying manuscript.

## 3. Experimental section

Melting points were determined on a WC-1 microscopic apparatus and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker ARX 500 spectrometer, in chloroform- $d$, and all $J$ values are in Hz . IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu IR 435 spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were determined with a Carlo Erba 1106 elemental analyzer, and some of the palladium complexes crystallized as solvates from methylene chloride; the analytical data for these crystalline compounds are calculated on the basis of the quantity of methylene chloride observed in individual samples by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR measurements. The 2D NOESY spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature with a mixing time of 300 ms . Chromatographic work was carried out using a silica gel packed dry column under reduced pressure.

### 3.1. Syntheses

### 3.1.1. 1,3-Diferrocenylbut-2-en-1-one (1) [3]

Red needles, m.p. $118-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR ( KBr pellet): 1633 , 1587, 1445, 1240, $1100,997,819 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta$
$2.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 4.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}(4)), 4.42\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 4.48(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $J=1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 4.60\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right)$, $4.81(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(2)), 6.72$ (s, 1 H , methine proton on the $\pi$-allyl group). Anal. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.15$; H , 5.10. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Calc.: $\mathrm{C}, 65.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.06 \%$.

### 3.1.2. $D i-\mu$-chlorobis( $\eta^{3}$-1-ferroceneformyl-2-ferro-cenyl- $\pi$-allyl)dipalladium (2)

A solution of lithium tetrachloropalladate(II) in 10 ml of methanol ( 0.26 g of $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of molar equivalents of NaOAc and 1 in 20 ml methanol, the resulting red solution was stirred at room temperature for about 20 h and then filtered, the solid obtained was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-petroleum ether ( $60-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) to produce 2. Yield $89 \%$, m.p. $>220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). IR ( KBr pellet): 1630,1490, $1445,1100,1000,820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group), $4.16-4.88$ ( 36 H , ferrocenyl group), 4.95 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group), 5.51 (bs, 2 H , the methine protons on the $\pi$-allyl unit). Anal. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 49.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.68$. $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Fc}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}_{2}$ Calc.: C, 49.70 ; $\mathrm{H}, 3.82$.

### 3.1.3. anti- $\eta^{3}$-1-Ferrocenylformyl-2-ferrocenyl-allyll triphenylphosphine)chloropalladium (3)

The $\mu$-chloro-bridged complex 2 was treated with molar equivalents of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature for 0.5 h , the product was purified by passing rapidly through a short silica gel column, then recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-petroleum ether ( $60-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) as red platelets. Yield $82 \%$ (calculated on the basis of 1 ), m.p. $153-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$ pellet): $1620,1490,1450,1432$, $1097,1000,825,740,690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\delta 3.77$ (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group), $4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(4)), 4.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime}\right)\right), 4.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}\left(4^{\prime}\right)\right), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime}\right)\right), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}(3)), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}(2)), 5.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, methine proton on the $\pi$-allyl group). 7.33-7.51 (m, $15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ ). Anal. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 56.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.13$. $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{ClFe}_{2} \mathrm{OPPd} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ Calc.: C, $55.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.14 \%$.

### 3.2. X-ray crystal structure determination of 3

Crystal data: $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{OPPd}, M_{\mathrm{r}}=926.20$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ (No. 2), $a=12.378(3), b=14.884(4), c=$ $11.974(3) \AA, \quad \alpha=94.49(2), \quad \beta=107.70(2), \quad \gamma=$ $109.61(2)^{\circ}, V=1939.3(9) \AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{\mathrm{c}}=1.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, $F(000)=936, \quad \lambda=0.71069 \AA, \quad \mu(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha)=14.78$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

A red plate crystal of $\mathbf{3}$ with approximate dimensions $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ was mounted on a Rigaku AFC7R diffractometer. Unit cell parameters were determined from the angular setting of 21 reflections with $2 \theta$
angles in the range $23.33-26.46^{\circ}$. Intensities were collected with graphite monochromated Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, using the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan technique. A total of 4936 reflections were measured, 4146 reflections were considered as observed with $I>3 \sigma(I)$. Three reflections were measured after every 200 reflections as orientation and intensity control, and no significant intensity decay was observed. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects and also for absorption by an empirical method on the basis of a zimuthal scan of several reflections. The structure was solved by heavy atom Patterson methods, expanded using Fourier techniques and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods. All calculations were performed using the teXsan program package [6]. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were included but not refined. The final $R$ factor was $0.035\left(R_{w}=0.049\right)$. The maximum and minimum peaks on the final difference Fourier map corresponded to 0.53 and -0.80 $\mathrm{e}-\AA^{-3}$ respectively.

## 4. Supplementary material available

Tables of atomic coordinates and thermal parameters, bond lengths and angles, anisotropic thermal parameters and hydrogen atom coordinates for 3 are available from the authors.
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